

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,002	01/19/2006	Santiago Echeverri	2590-146	5148
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 02/03/201 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH C	ELEBE ROAD, 11TH F	YANG, ANDREW		
ARLINGTON	, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3775		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/03/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

_								
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/565,002	ECHEVERRI, SANTIAGO						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	ANDREW YANG	3775						

	ANDREW YANG	3775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 11 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
 Al The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request			
The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
Examined wode: If DOX 11s Checked, check elither DOX (8) or (9). OUT CHECK BOX (9) When THE FIRST REPLT WAS FILED WITHIN IWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). slons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties of the properties of	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS	. A major to the state of files of heigh					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor 			cause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1						
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		I be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-13.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
/Thomas C. Barrett/	/Andrew Yang/					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3775

Examiner, Art Unit 3775

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Incorporating Claim 13 into Claim 1 raises new issues and consideration for all claims depending from claim 1. With regard to the arguments against Subba Rao, the orientation means 60, 40 orient the device to the X and Y axis (Figure 7) and is thus a dual axis ypo orientation device.